

Edwin Fagowski Assignment History

Year	Assignment	Location
1943	Ordination to priesthood	Buffalo NY
1943-46	Missionary, St. Thomas More Church	Ripley NY Mayville NY
1946-47	Assistant, St. Casimir Church & School	Buffalo NY
1947-49	Assistant, Visitation Roman Catholic Church	Buffalo NY
1949-58	Parochial Vicar, St. Stanislaus Church & School	Buffalo NY
1958-59	Parochial Vicar, St. John Kanty Church & School	Buffalo NY
1959-60	Parochial Vicar, St. Lawrence Church & School	Buffalo NY
1960-61	Parochial Vicar, Queen of Peace Church & School	Buffalo NY
1961-67	Pastor, Sacred Heart Church & School	Medina NY
1967-90	Pastor, St. Augustine Church & School	Depew NY
December 1, 1990	Died	

For research purposes only. Courtesy of New York State Unified Court System eTrack. Available here: https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/webcivil/etrackLogin



Summons & Complaints and all public documents subsequently completed and filed by Phillips & Paolicelli LLP. Address: 747 3rd Ave 6th floor, New York, NY 10017. Phone: (212) 388-5100.

Summons & Complaints relying on the research of James G. Faluszczak:

NYS UCS Case Number	Alleged Perpetrator	Defendant #	Defendants	Plaintiff
810357/2020	Fr. Edwin Fagowski et al	3	Blessed Mother Theresa Parish, St. Martha Parish & St. Benedict Parish.	PB-32 Doe

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

PB-32 Doe,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BLESSED MOTHER THERESA PARISH f/k/a ST. AUGUSTINE PARISH, ST. MARTHA PARISH f/k/a ST. BARNABAS CHURCH and OUR LADY OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT CHURCH, and SAINT BENEDICT PARISH,

Defendants.

SUMMONS

Index No.:

Plaintiff designates the County of ERIE as the place of trial. The basis of venue is the Defendant's county of residence pursuant to CPLR §503.

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the plaintiffs' attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

DATED: New York, New York

September 18, 2020

PHILLIPS & PAOLICELLI, LLP

_/s/ Yitzchak Fogel__

By: Diane Paolicelli

dpaolicelli@p2law.com

Yitzchak Fogel yfogel@p2law.com

747 Third Avenue, Sixth Floor New York, New York 10017

212-388-5100

Paul K. Barr

{00055233}

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

Fanizzi & Barr, P.C. 2303 Pine Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14301 716-284-8888 pbarr@fanizziandbarr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

BLESSED MOTHER THERESA PARISH f/k/a ST. AUGUSTINE PARISH

496 Terrace Blvd, Depew, NY 14043

ST. MARTHA PARISH f/k/a ST. BARNABAS CHURCH and OUR LADY OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT CHURCH

10 French Rd., Depew, NY 14043

SAINT BENEDICT PARISH

13 Eggert Rd, Buffalo, NY 14226

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

PB-32 DOE,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLAINT
Index No.:

BLESSED MOTHER THERESA PARISH f/k/a ST. AUGUSTINE PARISH, ST. MARTHA PARISH f/k/a ST. BARNABAS CHURCH and OUR LADY OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT CHURCH, and SAINT BENEDICT PARISH,

Defendants.

Plaintiff PB-32 Doe, by and through his undersigned attorneys, as and for his Complaint, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to the Child Victims Act, codified at CPLR 214-g.
- 2. Plaintiff PB-32 Doe was repeatedly sexually abused and assaulted by Msgr. Gerard Green ("Msgr. Green"), Fr. Brian Hatrick ("Fr. Hatrick"), and Fr. Edwin Fagowski ("Fr. Fagowski") all clergy who were hired, retained, supervised, placed, directed and otherwise authorized to act by Defendants in conjunction with the Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y., ("Diocese of Buffalo" or "Diocese").
- 3. Plaintiff was approximately 15 years old at the time his abuse began, in or about 1977.
- 4. Despite years of refusal to publically address rampant child abuse by priests and nuns, The Diocese of Buffalo recently published a long list clergy in their employ who were

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

credibly accused of molesting children. This list contains Fr. Hatrick and Msgr Green, two clergy

members who abused Plaintiff.

5. In fact, the Roman Catholic Church, the Diocese of Buffalo, and Defendants have

long known that substantial numbers of priests and nuns throughout history, and up to and

including the present day, violate their vows or promises of celibacy and otherwise misbehave by

soliciting sexual contact with parishioners and others, in particular with children like Plaintiff,

who are entrusted to their spiritual care and guidance. Official Church documents dealing with

this unspeakable misconduct span the centuries, many of which were and are well known to

Defendants.

6. Notwithstanding this knowledge, and the fiduciary duty and relationship of trust

owed to parishioners and their children, Defendants negligently, recklessly, and willfully failed

to protect Plaintiff from sexual abuse by their employees, permitted the abuse to occur, failed to

supervise their employees, failed to timely investigate their employees' misconduct, failed to

train minor parishioners, parents, clergy members and/or adult staff about the risk of sexual

abuse in their institution, to identify signs of sexual abuse, grooming behaviors, or sexual

predators, and to report any suspicion that a minor may be getting abused, maltreated, groomed,

or otherwise sexually abused, acted to protect their own self-interest to the detriment of innocent

children, and are otherwise responsible for their employees' sexual assault of Plaintiff, and

Plaintiff's consequential injuries and damages.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Erie County, New York.

8. Plaintiff was born in 1961.

{00053260}

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

9. At all relevant times, Defendant St. Augustine Parish (hereinafter "St.

Augustine") was a Roman Catholic Church, organized pursuant to the laws of the State of New

York, and which operated at all relevant times in Erie County, New York, with its principal place

of business at 496 Terrace Boulevard, Depew, NY 14043.

10. In or about 2009, St. Augustine merged with another parish to form Blessed

Mother Teresa Parish ("Blessed Mother Teresa"). Upon information and belief, Blessed Mother

Teresa Parish assumed some or all of the liabilities and/or assets of St. Augustine.

11. Defendant Blessed Mother Teresa is a Roman Catholic Church, organized

pursuant to the laws of the State of New York and which operated at all relevant times in Erie

County, New York, with its principal place of business at 496 Terrace Boulevard, Depew, NY

14043.

12. At all relevant times, Defendant St. Barnabas Church (herein "St. Barnabas") was

a Roman Catholic Church, organized pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, and which

operated at all relevant times in Erie County, New York, with its principal place of business at

2049 George Urban Boulevard, Depew, NY 14043.

13. At all relevant times, Defendant Our Lady of the Blessed Sacrament Church

(herein "Blessed Sacrement") was a Roman Catholic Church, organized pursuant to the laws of

the State of New York, and which operated at all relevant times in Erie County, New York, with

its principal place of business at 10 French Road, Depew, NY 14043.

14. In or about 2011, St. Barnabas merged with Our Lady of the Blessed Sacrament

Church to form St. Martha Parish. Upon information and belief, St. Martha assumed some or all

of the liabilities and/or assets of St. Barnabas and Blessed Sacrament.

{00053260}

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

15. Defendant St. Martha Parish ("St. Martha") is/was a Roman Catholic Church,

organized pursuant to the laws of the State of New York and which operated at all relevant times

in Erie County, New York, with its principal place of business at 10 French Road, Depew, NY

14043.

17.

16. At all relevant times, Defendant Saint Benedict Parish (herein "St. Benedict") was

and is a Roman Catholic Church, organized pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, and

which operated at all relevant times in Erie County, New York, with its principal place of

business at 1317 Eggert Rd, Buffalo, NY 14226.

At all relevant times, Defendants were and still are under the direct authority,

control and province of the Diocese of Buffalo.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

18. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

19. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a parishioner of St. Barnabas.

20. At all relevant times, Msgr. Green was Roman Catholic priest employed by and

under the direct supervision and control of one or more of the Defendants, in conjunction with

the Diocese of Buffalo. His assigned duties included serving as monsignor. His duties also

included interacting with, mentoring and counseling children.

21. At all relevant times Fr. Fagowski was a Roman Catholic priest employed by and

under the direct supervision and control of one or more of the Defendants,, in conjunction with

the Diocese of Buffalo. His assigned duties included interacting with, mentoring and counseling

children.

22. At all relevant times Fr. Hatrick was a Roman Catholic priest employed by and

under the direct supervision and control of one or more of the Defendants, in conjunction with

{00053260}

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

the Diocese of Buffalo. His assigned duties included interacting with, mentoring and counseling children.

- 23. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, all or some of the Defendants, individually and/or collectively, assigned, directed or otherwise permitted priests, including Fr. Fagowski, Fr. Hatrick and Msgr. Green, to work at and/or reside at summer camps located in or around western New York.At all relevant times Defendants authorized Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick to be alone with children, including Plaintiff, and to have unfettered and unsupervised access to them.
- 24. Defendants authorized Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick to have physical contact with minors, in a manner consistent with providing discipline, counseling, educational and spiritual guidance, and leadership.
- 25. Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski and Fr. Hatrick used their positions as priests to groom Plaintiff and obtain Plaintiff's trust, in order to satisfy their own sexual appetites.
- 26. Plaintiff was raised as a Catholic, and at all relevant times had developed a reverence, respect and/or fear for the Catholic Church and its clergy.
- 27. In or about 1977 or 1978, when Plaintiff was a high school student,, Fr. Fagowski engaged in unpermitted, forcible and harmful sexual contact with Plaintiff.
 - 28. The abuse by Fr. Fagowski occurred in the rectory of St. Augustine.
- 29. In or about 1978-1979, Msgr. Green engaged in unpermitted, forcible and harmful sexual contact with Plaintiff on numerous occasions.
- 30. The abuse by Fr. Green, took place at various camps in western New York affiliated with one or more of the Defendants.

CEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

31. In or about 1978 or 1979, Fr. Hatrick engaged in unpermitted, forcible and harmful sexual contact with Plaintiff. Using his position of power and influence as a priest, Fr. Hatrick induced Plaintiff to take a trip alone with him to an out of state baseball game. Fr. Hatrick sexually abused Plaintiff during that trip.

- 32. Defendants authorized Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, Fr. Hatrick, in the performance of their duties, to be alone with minor boys, including Plaintiff, and to have unfettered and unsupervised access to them on Defendants' property.
- 33. Defendants required parishioners and students, like Plaintiff, to accept and obey guidance, discipline, and instruction from clergy members.
- 34. Plaintiff was raised as a Catholic, and at all relevant times had developed a reverence, respect and/or fear for the Catholic Church and its clergy, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick.
- Plaintiff's relationship to Defendants as a vulnerable child and parishioner, and 35. the culture of the Catholic Church, which Defendants endorsed, put pressure on Plaintiff not to immediately report the sexual abuse.
- 36. Defendants knew or should have known that their employees posed a danger to minor boys like Plaintiff before they sexually abused Plaintiff.
- 37. The Vatican and other church authorities addressed the problem of clergy sex abuse on countless occasions prior to Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick's, abuse of Plaintiff, and communicated as much with all levels of Church hierarchy including bishops, Diocesan leaders, and parishes. As such, at all relevant times, Defendants were well aware that errant sexual behavior by some priests was not only widespread but predictable.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

38. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they had superior knowledge about the risks their facilities posed to minor children, the risk of abuse in general,

and the risks that Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick posed to Plaintiff.

39. Prior to the time of Plaintiff's abuse by Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr.

Hatrick, Defendants knew or should have known of numerous acts of sexual assault committed

by clergy members within the Diocese and elsewhere in the Roman Catholic Church, and knew

that there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children in their institutions and programs.

40. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff by Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, Fr. Hatrick, was

foreseeable.

41. Prior to the time of Plaintiff's abuse by Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr.

Hatrick, Defendants knew or should have known of Msgr. Green's, Fr. Fagowski's ,and Fr.

Hatrick's acts of child sexual abuse on other minors.

42. Defendants owed Plaintiff a reasonable duty of care because they affirmatively

solicited children and parents to send their children to Defendants; they undertook custody of

minor children, including Plaintiff; they promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for

children, they held out their agents, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick, as

safe to work with and around minor boys, they encouraged parents and children to spend time

with their agents; and/or authorized their agents, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr.

Hatrick, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.

43. Defendants owed Plaintiff a heightened, fiduciary duty of care because they held

themselves out as being able to provide a safe and secure environment for children, including

Plaintiff; Plaintiff's parents entrusted Plaintiff to Defendants' care, and expected that Plaintiff

would be safe and properly supervised in an environment free from harm and abuse; Plaintiff

{00053260}

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

was a vulnerable minor, and unable to protect himself; and Defendants affirmatively assumed a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

- 44. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to protect him from harm because Defendants' acts and omissions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.
- 45. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great physical and mental pain and anguish, severe and permanent emotional distress, psychological injuries, fear and anxiety; was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing his normal daily activities; was and will continue to be deprived of the enjoyment of life's pleasures; has suffered and continues to suffer loss of spirituality; has suffered and will continue to suffer loss of earnings and earning capacity; has incurred and will in the future incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, and was otherwise damaged in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of this State.
- 46. To the extent that any Defendants plead, or otherwise seek to rely upon Article 16 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) to have fault apportioned to another allegedly culpable party, Plaintiff expressly states that Defendants' conduct falls within one or more of the subdivisions of CPLR 1602.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION, SUPERVISON, AND DIRECTION

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have learned that Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick were not fit to work with or around children.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

49. Defendants, by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, became

aware, or should have become aware of Msgr. Green's, Fr. Fagowski's, and Fr. Hatrick's

propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety.

50. Defendants negligently retained Fr. Labelle with knowledge of Msgr. Green's, Fr.

Fagowski's, and Fr. Hatrick's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's

injuries.

51. At all relevant times Defendants had a duty to exercise due care in hiring,

appointing, assigning, retention, supervision and direction of Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr.

Hatrick, so as to protect minor children, including Plaintiff, who were likely to come into contact

with him, and/or under his influence or supervision, and to ensure that Msgr. Green, Fr.

Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick, did not use their assigned positions to injure minors by sexual assault,

contact or abuse.

52. Defendants were negligent and failed to use reasonable care in hiring, appointing,

assigning, and retention, of Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick, failed to properly

investigate their background and employment history, and/or hired, appointed and/or assigned

them to their respective positions when Defendants knew or should have known of facts that

would make these individuals a danger to children; and Defendants were otherwise negligent.

53. Defendants were negligent and did not use reasonable care in their supervision

and direction of Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick, failed to monitor their activities,

and failed to oversee the manner in which they carried out the duties to which Defendants

assigned them, even though they knew or should have known that Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski,

and Fr. Hatrick, posed a threat of sexual abuse to minors; allowed the misconduct describe above

to occur and continue; failed to investigate Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick's

{00053260}

CEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

collective dangerous activities and remove them from their premises; and Defendants were otherwise negligent.

- 54. Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, And Fr. Hatrick would not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff had Defendants not been negligent in the hiring, retention, supervision, and direction of Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick.
- 55. At all relevant times, Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick acted in the course and scope of their employment with Defendants.
- Defendants' aforesaid actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or 56. outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick's sexual abuse and Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including physical, psychological and emotional injury as described above.
- 58. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT, RECKLESS, AND WILLFUL MISCONDUCT

- 59. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. At all relevant times, Defendants affirmatively and/or impliedly represented to minor children, their families and the general public that clergy, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick did not pose a risk and/or that they did not have a history of sexually abusing children, and that children, including Plaintiff, would be safe in their care.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

61. Defendants knew or should have known this representation was false and that

employing clergy, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick, and giving them

unfettered access to children, including Plaintiff, posed an unacceptable risk of harm to children.

62. Upon information and belief, Defendants covered up acts of abuse by Msgr.

Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick and concealed facts concerning Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski,

and Fr. Hatrick's sexual misconduct from Plaintiff and his family.

63. Defendants were negligent and did not use reasonable care in their training, if

any, of minor parishioners and parents about the risk of sexual abuse in their institution and

facilities, to identify signs of sexual abuse, grooming behaviors, or sexual predators, and to

report any suspicion that a minor may be getting abused, maltreated, groomed, or otherwise

sexually abused.

64. Defendants were negligent and did not use reasonable care in their training, if

any, of clergy members and/or adult staff about the risk of sexual abuse in their institution and

facilities, to identify signs of sexual abuse, grooming behaviors, or sexual predators, and to

report any suspicion that a minor may be getting abused, maltreated, groomed, or otherwise

sexually abused.

65. By failing to disclose the identities, histories and information about sexually

abusive clergy in their employ, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick,

Defendants unreasonably deprived the families of children entrusted to their care, including

Plaintiff, of the ability to protect their children.

66. Defendants failed to warn Plaintiff and his parent that Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski,

and Fr. Hatrick posed a risk of child sexual assault.

{00053260}

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

67. The conduct of Defendants as described herein was done with utter disregard as to the potential profound injuries which would ensue, and with depraved indifference to the health and well-being of children, and to the fact that Defendants were knowingly subjecting children in their charge, including Plaintiff, to sexual crimes.

- 68. Defendants' aforesaid actions were negligent, reckless, willful and wanton in their disregard for the rights and safety of children, including Plaintiff.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as described above.
- 70. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of this State, together with interest and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 71. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff was extreme and outrageous conduct, beyond all possible bounds of decency, atrocious and intolerable in a civilized world.
- 73. Defendants' aforesaid negligent, grossly negligent and reckless misconduct, endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his own safety.
- 74. Defendants knew or disregarded the substantial probability that Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, And Fr. Hatrickwould cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

75. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing misconduct, Plaintiff

suffered severe emotional distress including psychological and emotional injury as described

above.

76. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for

compensatory and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the

lower courts of this State, plus interest and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

PREMISES LIABILITY

77. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully

set forth herein.

78. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, and /or controlled the premises

known as St. Augustine and St. Stephen's School, including the areas where the sexual abuse of

Plaintiff occurred.

79. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was rightfully present at the aforementioned

premises.

80. Defendants had a duty to see that the premises at which Plaintiff was rightfully

present were in a reasonably safe condition for the intended use by parishioners, like Plaintiff,

whose presence was reasonably anticipated.

81. Defendants knowingly, willfully, recklessly, and/or negligently failed to provide a

reasonably safe premise that was free from the presence of sexual predators and/or the assault by

the occupants of the premises, including Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, and Fr. Hatrick.

Defendants thereby breached their duty of care of Plaintiff.

{00053260}

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

82. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological, and emotional injury and damages as

described above.

83. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory

and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of

this State, plus interest and costs.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

84. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully

set forth herein.

85. At all relevant times, there existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence and

reliance between Plaintiff and each Defendant. The entrustment of Plaintiff to the care and

supervision of the Defendants while Plaintiff was a vulnerable child, imposed upon Defendants

fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.

86. Defendants were entrusted with the well-being, care, and safety of Plaintiff, which

Defendants had a fiduciary duty to protect.

87. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to

Plaintiff.

88. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breach, Plaintiff

suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as

described above.

{00053260}

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

89. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of this State, plus interest and costs.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF DUTY IN LOCO PARENTIS

- 90. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a vulnerable child entrusted to Defendants care, and was under the supervision and control of Defendants, such that Defendants owed him a duty to act in loco parentis and to prevent foreseeable injuries.
- 92. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants breached their duties to act in loco parentis.
- 93. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breach, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as described above.
- 94. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of this State, plus interest and costs.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF STATUTORY DUTIES TO REPORT

95. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 810357/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

96. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420 and New York Education Law Article 23-B, Defendants had a statutory duty to report reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in their care.

- 97. Defendants breached their statutory duty by failing to report reasonable suspicion of abuse by Msgr. Green, Fr. Fagowski, And Fr. Hatrickof children in their care.
- 98. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breaches, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as described above.
- 99. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limit of the lower courts of this State, plus interest and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- a. Awarding Plaintiff compensatory damages for his injuries, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff punitive damages for his injuries, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment interest, to the extent available by law;
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees to the extent available by law; and
- e. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

100. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury in this action.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810357/2020
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/18/2020

Dated: July 7, 2020

Yours, etc.

PHILLIPS & PAOLICELLI, LLP

By: Diane Paolicelli

dpaolicelli@p2lay

dpaolicelli@p2law.com Yitzchak Fogel yfogel@p2law.com 747 Third Avenue Sixth Flo

747 Third Avenue, Sixth Floor New York, New York 10017 212-388-5100

Paul K. Barr Fanizzi & Barr, P.C. 2303 Pine Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14301 716-284-8888 pbarr@fanizziandbarr.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff